		Review	of Pupil P	lic Primary So remium Expe ′ear: 2018 – 19	nditure			
i. Quality of teaching for	all (pedagogy)	I						1
Desired Outcome	Chosen action / strategy	Impact:					Lessons learned/ will approach continue	Cost
% of Pupil Premium pupils attaining the National Standard in reading, writing and mathematics combined is equal	CPD for staff writing and reasoning in maths Additional TA support	Attainment comp	barison Year			Small Class sizes and intensive tuition in small groups are effective and enable expected and better	£43510	
to non-Pupil Premium pupils in school and above national.	within classes: Year 2/3 1 TA		All Pupils	PPG	Other	Gap	progress to be made over time for pupils who begin	
% of Pupil Premium and non-	Year 6 0.5 HLTA	Reading	62.5%	60%	77.8%	17.8%	school with very low levels of attainment. This is	
Pupil Premium pupils attaining Expected Standard in writing,		Writing	33.3%	30%	55.6%	35.6%	particularly evident in Key Stage 1 and Foundation	
maths in Year 6 will reach national.	This results in Targeted support in lessons for PP pupils.	GPS	66.7%	60%	77.8%	17.8%	Stage where pupils accessing interventions have generally made expected or better progress across the school.	
		Maths	48.5%	30%	77.8%	47.8%		
% of Pupil Premium and non-	Effective Feedback	RWM	33.3%	30%	55.6%	25.6%		
Pupil Premium pupils attaining Expected Standard in reading, writing, maths in Year 2 will reach and exceed national.	Mastery Approach to T&L CPD : focused upon English, Maths	Gap in attainme Progress from Y				Within this particular Year 6 cohort, best progress and attainment is evident in		
COST: £43510 BARRIER: A,B,		0.0 = expected progress	All pupils	PPG	Other	Gap	reading and GAP. Where accelerated progress has not been made by PPG pupils, SEN and a range of social factors have often coexisted. Mobility contributed to several children who did not reach ARE or make expected progress.	
		Reading	-0.9	-1.7	0.3	2.0		
		Writing	-6.7	-6.6	-7.4	0.8		
		Maths	-2.5	-2.7	-2.5	0.2		
		Progress within writing	average rar	nge for reading	below average for	Progress within writing is a cause for concern and investigation		
							A combination of small classes and vertical grouping of classes in Foundation Stage and Key Stage 1 and	

Attainment Co	nparison ARE	Year 2 PPG And Oth	ner Pupil Groups	\$ 2018-2019	2 with additional TA support will facilitate small group and
	All pupils	PPG	Other	Gap	individual personalised learning for children in the
Reading	69.2%	75%	50%	25%	A revision of the writing
Writing	76.9%	75%	78%	3%	curriculum in both key stages is required
Maths	69.2%	62.5%	75%	12.5%	
Attainment rem	ains slightly	below national, thoug	h attainment of I	PPG exceed	
NPP for readin	g <u>Attai</u>	nment Foundation 2 2	018-2019		
	GLD		81.8%		
	Boys at ARE		80.0%		
	Girls at ARE		83.8%		
	Gender Gap		3.8%		-
	EAL				
	SEN		100%		
	PPG		66.7%		
	Non PPG		100%		
	CLA				
5	Summer born				
Attainment abo	e average ove	rall			

Vulnerable Pupil Premium Pupils attain Age Related Expectations in reading, writing	Additional TA support within classes:	See Data above Attainment in Pho	onics 2018-20	See evaluation above.		
and mathematics.	Foundation 2 0.5	Group	Phonic Scr	A dip in phonics attainment		
Pupil Premium pupils in all	Year1 full time TA				1	Year 1 this year is largely due to specific SEN or as a
classes make accelerated progress from their starting	Year 4/5 full time TA		Year 1		Year 2	result of mobility. Similar
points.	Year 2/3 full time TA		%School	%National	%School	approach to continue 2019- 2020
	This results in Targeted support in lessons for PP pupils.		Expected	Expected	expected	Across the school and especially within EYFS, Key
COST: (See above)	Effective Feedback	All	61.1%	81.9	91.6%	Stage 1 and Lower Key Stage 2. PP pupils are
BARRIER: A,B,	Mastery Approach to T&L	Male	50%			making good and accelerated progress as a
	CPD : focused upon	IN AIG	5078			result of additional support.
	EYFS,Additional TA support within classes for specific pupils/ groups Intervention Behaviour management support and ASD friendly strategies Streaming for phonics and guided reading Foundation Stage to Year 3	Female	75%			They are mainly working within year band with increasing numbers, eg in
		SEN	0			year 2 and 4 working at ARE
		PPG	25%			
		NPPG	87.5%			
		A gap in phonic attain % Pupils at ARE in Readin				
	Individual and paired reading					
		Reading	PP	NPP	All	
		Y1	44	89	66	
		Y2	75	50	69	
		Y3	50	87	71	
		Y4	75	88	76	
		Y5	33	86	53	
		Y6	60			
		In Year 2 more PPC	6 pupils are at	ARE for readir	ng than NPPG	

In other	In other year groups an attainment gap remains						
Writing		PP	NPP	All			
Y1		44	89	66			
Y2		75	78	76			
Y3		83	87	86			
Y4		50	66	59			
Y5		33	72	48			
Y6		30	56	33			
Writing a	ttainment in	similar for PP	and NPP in Yea	r2 and 3. In			
other yea	ar groups an a	attainment ga	p for writing rer	nains			
Maths		PP	NPP	All			
Maths		PP	NPP	All			
Y1		33	89	61			
Y2		62	75	69			
Y3		83	87	86			
Y4		75	88	76			
Y5		33	58	42			
Y6		30	77	46			
attainment	In Year 3 Maths attainment is similar for PP and NPP. In other classes an attainment gap remains <u>% Making Good Or Accelerated Progress July 19</u>						
Reading		PP	NPP	All			
Y1		85	89	89			
Y2		100	100	100			
Y3		100	100	100			
Y4		88	78	82			

Y5	75	100	84	
Y6	86	89	87	
In reading most PP p in Year 4 it is better.	oupils make good prog	ress . In Year 2,3 it is	the same as NPP peers,	
Writing	PP	NPP	All	
Y1	85	89	89	
Y2	100	100	100	
Y3	100	100	100	
Y4	88	78	82	
Y5	67	100	79	
Y6	86	89	87	
Maths	PP	NPP	All	
Y1	85	89	89	
Y2	100	100	100	
Y3	100	100	100	
Y4	100	89	94	
Y5	58	100	74	
Y6	93	89	91	
NPP peers, in Year	pupils make good pi 6 it is better.	ogress . In rear 2,,	o il is the same as	
				Total budg

Desired Outcome	Chosen action / strategy	Impa	ct :Succ	ess Crite	eria met					Lessons learned/ will approach continue	Cost
TAs/ SENCO to deliver targeted intervention to ensure Pupil Premium pupils, with SEND, make good progressSEND Hub team interventionCOST: aboveEG: Talking Partners, EAL support, Lifeboat, , Guided and Reciprocal Reading, Whole Literacy Intervention, Personalised	July 2019 The Progress of SEND/PP pupils to July is similar for SEN pupils with and without PPG. Progress at least at expected level(4+) though accelerated in the majority of classes Average progress class SEN cohort by class								Teaching approaches which encourage learners to plan, monitor and evaluate their learning had good impact. SEND team personalise learning most effective when leading specific intervention programmes and when they	See above	
	Curriculum, Orrets Meadow Outreach Support, Bespoke			G/SEN ted prog			I making ted progr			work closely with the class teacher, intensive small groups is very effective in	
and Speech and	recommended by CAMHS		R	Wr	М	R	Wr	М		 helping learners to catch up. Short periods of intensive sessions tend to have had the most impact. Increased monitoring of specific intervention for impact to be undertaken 	
		Y1	30			30	50	30			
		Y2	50	50	32	50	50	32			
		Y3	100	100	100	100	100	100	each term		
		Y4	60	60	60	60	60	60		Expertise from Orrets Meadow has had significant impact in upskilling Teaching Assistant within Hub to meet the needs of PPG pupils with SEN.	
		Y5	75	25	37	75	25	37			
		Y6	83	50	83	83	50	83			
										Electronic interventions effective eg IDL Cloud and Times Tables Rock-stars proving to be effective to accelerate progress reading, spelling, recall multiplication facts.	
participate in one to one or m	Small group tuition in mathematics for 20weeks.	Year 6 at ARE July 2019 Per SATS								:Pupils entitled to PP did not attain in line with NPP peers.	£1520
		Mat		РР 30%	NPP 77.8%	All 45.8%				As a result of small group and one to one tuition,PP pupils did generally make expected progress. Clear	

COST: £1520		Progress ir	n Maths	2018-201	9	improvement in accuracy of calculations. Intervention to continue in 2019-2020			
BARRIER: B Family Liaison and Teaching Assistants to support the pastoral and SEMH needs of vulnerable pupils and families As a result SEMH of pupils and families will improve, Effective parent partnership will develop with Parents as co-educators. Pupils will attain age related	RRIER: Bmily Liaison and Teaching sistants to support the storal and SEMH needs of nerable pupils and nilies As a result SEMH of oils and families will prove, Effective parent threnship will develop with rents as co-educators. pils will attain age related bectations in reading, ting and mathematics.Family Liaison and Teaching Assistants to support the pastoral and learning needs of vulnerable pupils.Liaison Coordinator and Teaching Assistants to support the pastoral and learning needs of vulnerable pupils.ST: £5700	PP NPP All Good -2.7 -2.5 -2.5 As a result of intervention pupils entitled to PP made better in year progress than peers. 93% good progress compared to 89% NPP peers See above Through survey, Head teacher monitoring and case studies it is apparent that SEMH Intervention programmes have had impact in enabling several targeted pupils to learn to self-regulate and focus upon learning. Improvement in behaviour target pupils. Reduction in use of sanctions. Pupils move focussed and ready to learn, disruption to lessons minimised. No external exclusions 2018-2019 Continuing development of positive relationships with parents evident in						continue in 2019-2020 Use of Family Liaison Support and TA support and intervention to continue in 2019-2020 Parents to be offered opportunity to engage in further activities within school to increase community and family	£5700
expectations in reading, writing and mathematics. COST: £5700 BARRIER: C D		Parents ha Chat, RE, s mornings	ve attend storytellir to work a	ded a nun ng, art, fitr llongside	nber of worksh ness activities	of pupils for interventi ops, for instance, SA , yoga, cookery math s value the opportunit unity	T and s	cohesion and partnership as co-educators Some SEMH issues still evident in school – intervention ongoing.	
							Total b	udgeted cost	£7220

iii. Other approaches				
Desired Outcome	Chosen action / strategy	Impact/ Success Criteria met	Lessons learned/will approach continue	Cost
To improve levels of attendance and punctuality for PPG eligible pupils, reducing rates of Persistent Absenteeism (PA). Pupils have additional opportunities to develop social and communication skills in breakfast club Pupils in school energised and ready to learn at the beginning of the school day Cost : £13814 Barrier: E	Attendance Officer to monitor attendance daily Walking Bus as required ESW support Breakfast club free for children PPG pupils Attendance League and rewards for good attendance	2018-2019 Absence PPG 6.5% absence compared to 5.3% for other pupils2018-2019 Persistent Absence PPG 16.3% compared to 13.8% for other pupils In 2018-2019 unauthorised holidays and a whole school bout of chickenpox impacted upon attendance, From pupil voice and observation it is apparent that children enjoy coming to breakfast club and as a result are focussed energised and ready to learn at the beginning of the school day. They are motivated by attendance awards our HERO initiative and league A large number of pupils entitled to PPG access free breakfast club on an occasional or regular basis. Attendance at breakfast club enables pupils to develop and maintain good attendance habits.	Monitoring of attendance weekly in school to continue. Parents alerted when attendance falls below 95% Monthly monitoring of Attendance and intervention by ESW, though useful will not continue as Service no longer available Register of Breakfast Club attendance to continue with specific invitation to target pupils Despite rigorous monitoring, persistent absence remains an issue because of unauthorised holidays taken by families. Other factors coexisted for some families for instance unauthorised absence, lateness after register closed and illness. Policies and procedures implemented for families taking unauthorised holidays implemented leading to number FPNs. Procedure to be reviewed and amended by Governing body in line with LA recommendation . A new focus in 2018-2019 to target punctuality of pupils who persistently arrive in school after register has closed with support via phone calls and HERO initiative has improved punctuality.	£13814

Pupil Premium pupils have enriched experiences in school, attend extra-curricular clubs, such as karate, yoga, dance, are given opportunities to engage in out of school learning Cost : £14000 Barrier F	Visitors: Fun Food Chef, visiting Artists, MEAS, Visiting Musicians, Dance Workshops Subsidy for day trips, eg Pantomine and Residential trips to Oaklands and Barnstondale. Music Tuition in Year 2,3,4 and 5 Choir – Peace Proms See also PE funding/ spending	From observation, pupil voice surveys it is apparent that residential to Oaklands and Barnstondale have broadened the life experience pupils, increase self-esteem, confidence, independence and social a Subsidized school trips, for instance to The Floral Pavillion, Peace Proms, and visitors such as the Fun Food Chef and visiting theatre companies and musicians have provided curriculum enrichment motivation and additional " hooks" into learning. Year 2,3,4 and 5 PPG Pupils continue to develop musical skill as a of weekly music tuition PPG children who have attended afterschool clubs have enjoyed th experience, growing in confidence and skill. Most popular With Juni PPG children have been the dance and sport clubs. Family Yoga ha been best attended by Infant PPG children and their families ,Our choir has performed in the Peace Proms at the Echo Arena in Liverpool. Pupils also showed increased confidence during end of t productions and performances	 have significant impact upon the personal growth of pupils and will continue. Extra-curricular clubs with element of competition such as matches, and performance, eg, Peace Proms have been very well received by parents and provided "hooks " into curriculum for PPG pupils. Approach will continue, but with different focus on rolling programme. 	£14000
		Т	ΓΟΤΑL	£27814
				£78544

Additional Information

Actions based on research:

The Sutton Trust Teaching and Learning Toolkit considers a wide range of common approaches and strategies to raising achievement. It analyses them based on a range of evidence in order to identify the high impact approaches alongside the implications. This action plan is based on the some of the higher impact strategies identified by the Sutton Trust and the Ofsted document 'The Pupil Premium: How schools are spending the funding successfully to maximise achievement' so that we can be confident that we are using interventions and approaches with a track record of success.

A wide variety of strategies are employed to tackle 'the opportunity gap' in education and to raise attainment for the children from disadvantaged backgrounds. For example:

• rigorous monitoring and use of data;

- deploying staff and resources effectively;
- employing intervention programmes;
- raising pupil aspirations using engagement/aspiration programmes;
- focussing on pupils' attitude to learning;
- engaging parents/carers;
- developing social and emotional competencies;
- supporting school transitions;
- Guided and reciprocal reading
- one-to-one tutoring by parent volunteers, particularly in reading;
- CPD to encourage quality first teaching.

Undoubtedly, the quality of teaching delivers the greatest improvements in learning outcomes for all pupils, including those from deprived backgrounds. The most powerful approaches are well-specified, well-supported and well-implemented programmes of work, incorporating extensive continuing professional development that is often delivered within the school context. Intervention and specific tutoring of individuals/groups by Teachers and Teaching Assistants builds confidence, fills gaps in learning and consolidates which has resulted in pupils making good or better progress by the end of Key Stage 2. From a low baseline, most pupils reach ARE be the time they leave school

Wherever possible, School uses collaborative learning strategies effectively to encourage pupils to develop the necessary social and communication skills to learn.

The school adopts a variety of synchronised approaches, long-term, planned and co-ordinated provision to enable all children to experience success and achieve . Various studies (for example, The Sutton Trust) have considered and evaluated different strategies, and although useful, as it explains it is a "supplement rather than a substitute for professional judgement". Undoubtedly, strategies are most successful when confident, well-trained adults use programmes that meet the needs of individual pupils and circumstances of the school.